

DAVID BOREN
OKLAHOMA

RUSSELL BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20510

621 NORTH ROBINSON
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102

409 S. BOSTON
TULSA, OK 74103

211 EAST OAK
SEMINOLE, OK 74868

~~EX PARTE~~ OR LATE FILED
PARTE OR LATE FILED

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-3601

SENATE CHAIRMAN
JOINT COMMITTEE ON
THE ORGANIZATION OF CONGRESS
MEMBER, JOINT COMMITTEE
ON TAXATION
MEMBER, COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
CHAIRMAN, SUBCOMMITTEE ON
TAXATION
MEMBER, COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE,
NUTRITION, AND FORESTRY
CHAIRMAN, SUBCOMMITTEE ON
AGRICULTURAL TRADE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

April 14, 1993

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Ms. Lauren J. Belvin
Acting Director
Office of Legislative Affairs
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W. #808
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Belvin:

I recently received a letter from one of my constituents expressing concern about PR Docket 92-235. I respectfully request that this letter be given full consideration; however, no response to my office is necessary. I am enclosing a copy for the record.

Sincerely,



David L. Boren
United States Senator

DLB/mcp
Enclosure

(118) Letters attached

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List A B C D E

PAGE I OF II
MARCH-17-1993

SENATOR BOREN
WASHINGTON, D.C.

THE HONORABLE DAVID L BOREN

00 MAR 22 PM 1:19

UNITED STATES SENATE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

DEAR SENATOR BOREN,

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

I AM WRITING THIS LETTER
IN PROTEST CONCERNING FCC NPRM-PR
DOLKET 92-235.

I ENJOY THE HOBBY OF
BUILDING AND OPERATING RADIO CONTROL
MODELS. I BELONG TO THE LOCAL CLUB
IN TULSA, OK CONSISTING OF 160 MEMBERS.
I HAVE A PERSONAL FINANCIAL INVOLVEMENT
OF \$4000.

WE CURRENTLY HAVE 50 FREQUENCIES
IN THE 72-76 MHZ BAND. THE WAY
I UNDERSTAND IT, IF PR DOLKET 92-235
GOES IN EFFECT THERE WILL BE ONLY 19
FREQUENCIES LEFT. THIS WILL BE A
COMPROMISE OF SAFETY AS THE REMAINING
FREQUENCIES WILL BECOME MORE CONGESTED
AND THE MARGIN OF SAFETY WILL BE DECREASED.

THE MODEL AIRPLANES I FLY
HAVE A 9 FOOT WINGSPAN AND WEIGH
20 POUNDS. I GO THROUGH GREAT LENGTHS
OF TIME & EXPENSE TO MAKE MY MODELS
AS SAFE AS POSSIBLE.

PLEASE HELP ME CONTINUE
THE SAFE ENJOYMENT OF MY HOBBY
BY NOT ALLOWING THE F.C.C. TO
CARRY OUT ITS PROPOSALS FOR THE
72-76 MHZ BANDS

SINCERELY



DAVID MASTERSON

BROKEN ARROW, OKLAHOMA

March 8, 1993

The Honorable David Boren
The United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Boren,

As a fellow Oklahoman, I would appreciate your support against the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) proposed regulations contained in PR Docket 92-235. This proposal will greatly affect my hobby of remote radio control of model airplanes and boats.

My hobby is a great past time which helps me to keep my sanity in these troubled times. I have over \$3,000 involved in my radio controlled model airplanes and planning to investment more in a radio controlled boat with my son.

Radio controlled airplanes and boats are very enjoyable and great hobby and I truthfully would not like to give it up or give any part of it to the expanding cellular phones, personal pagers and radio data services industry. Radio controlled aircraft has been using 72 - 76 MHz radio band for many years, 50 years or so now.

The FCC should really give this matter much thought and give the radio controlled model industry and participating citizens due

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

PRB
92-235-

1779

001512 17 01 1:01

RECEIVED

APR 22 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

ST. JAMES C. BUREAU
WASHINGTON, D.C.

COMM 24 PM 4:30

The Honorable David Boren
U.S. Senate
Washington D.C. 20570
March 10, 1993

Mr. Bruce A. Payton
3421 Fox Lane West
Newcastle, OK 73065-6020

Dear Sirs:

This correspondence is in reference to PR Docket 92-235, the proposed rule that would allow the FCC to restructure the 72 MHz frequency band currently assigned to model airplane users. This proposal has been originated by the powerful land mobile service lobby, who is looking for more frequency space. Basically this lobby proposed the insertion of 2 new frequencies between those already assigned to RC modelers and commercial users. This also brings the probability of transmitters nearly 4 times more powerful than those presently used on this band, and only 2.5 KHz away from many of our 72 and 75 MHz frequencies.

I have been active in the RC hobby for quite awhile, and have enjoyed it immensely, as have many of my friends. I also have a very substantial investment in equipment and model planes, to say nothing of the hundreds of hours of painstaking construction involved.

This new proposal would create several problems, the first being lack of safety. A prime example would be a vehicle using mobile cellular communications equipment within 5 miles of an RC model airplane in mid-flight, causing loss of control. A model plane, weighing 6-7 pounds, and flying at speeds between 50 and 90 mph could cause substantial property damage, a fire, and/or even death if control of the aircraft is suddenly interfered with. Along with this would be a new liability involving many conflict in lawsuits, and a mind-boggling headache to our already over-worked legal system.

Those of us who already have been assigned this frequency vehemently reject this proposal as unjust, and view it another ploy of big business to use it's political muscle to again greedily get it's way. We beseech you to use wisdom when considering this proposal, and do what is right and just. Let this lobby find a different solution to the problem it created, and stay off our frequencies.

Sincerely yours,

Bruce A. Payton

Bruce A. Payton